1 2

E-Filed 11/9/10

3

45

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLAUDE & PAMELA BENNETT,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:10-CV-03375-JF (HRL)

ORDER¹ DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND; VACATING HEARING DATE; AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

[re: document nos. 13, 18, 20]

On August 2, 2010, Plaintiffs Claude and Pamela Bennett, proceeding *pro se*, filed their original complaint against Defendant Suntrust Mortgage, Inc. Plaintiffs allege violations of the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 *et seq.* and other related claims. On September 13, 2010, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint. The Court concludes that this motion is appropriate for determination without oral argument and will vacate the hearing date of November 12, 2010. *See* Civ. L.R. 7-1(b).

Under this Court's Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs' opposition was due at least twenty-one days before the noticed hearing date of November 12, 2010, or by October 22, 2010. Plaintiffs did not file any opposition papers. Instead they filed a motion for an extension of time to file

This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

1	opposition on November 2, 2010, which will be denied as untimely. Because the motion to	
2	dismiss appears well-taken and is unopposed, the motion to dismiss will be granted for the	
3	reasons stated therein. Given Plaintiffs' pro se status and the fact that the operative complaint is	
4	the original pleading, leave to amend will be granted. Any amended pleading shall be filed	
5	within thirty (30) days after the date of this order.	
6	ORDER	
7	For good cause shown,	
8	(1)	Plaintiffs' motion for extension of time is DENIED;
9	(2)	Defendant's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, WITH LEAVE TO AMEND;
10	(3)	any amended pleading shall be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of this
11		order;
12	(4)	the hearing date of November 12, 2010 is VACATED; and
13	(5)	the Case Management Conference set for November 12, 2010 is CONTINUED to
14		January 14, 2011 at 10:30 a.m.
15		
16	DATED: November 9, 2010	
17		
18 19		JER SIY FÖGEL Ur tri d States Dis ri t Judge
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

2

Case 5:10-cv-03375-JF Document 22 Filed 11/09/10 Page 3 of 3 A copy of this order has been served upon the following persons: Claude & Pamela Bennett 508 Merrmann Drive Monterey, CA 93940 Case No. 5:10-CV-03375-JF (HRL) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND; VACATING HEARING; AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

(JFEX2)